Quick Reference Card (QRC)

Utilize the ENTER™ Quality Checklist Before Recommending Stocks

E١	NTER™ Quality Checklist (to be used <u>before</u> starting the communication of a stock	1=Always
re	commendation)	5=Never
<u>E</u> xpectational		
•	I have a <u>forward-looking</u> view in terms of the stock and the critical factor(s) likely to move	
	the stock	
•	I have identified the catalyst(s) likely to move my stock and important dates when the	
	catalyst is most likely to cause the stock to move to my price target	
•	When I believe the company management's forecast is relevant, I frame it in terms of my	
	independent financial forecast (rather than just repeat management)	
•	When I review historical information or events, it in the context of considering the future	
	implications to my investment thesis. (I don't dwell on the past for other reasons.)	
No	ovel	
•	I have a piece of information or insight that is new and not widely understood within the	
	financial markets	
•	I know where my unique (or superior) view falls within the FaVeS™ framework (unique	
	<u>Financial forecast, Valuation method/multiple, or unique view about market Sentiment)</u>	
•	I know why the market does not have my view	
Th	orough	
•	For critical factors that are key to the stock call, I research more than one source or	
	confirm the insight with an independent source	
•	If the stock call is based on a unique financial forecast, I conduct detailed modeling in	
	the area surrounding the critical factor(s)	
•	I do not use management guidance as the primary justification for the call	
<u>E</u> ×	caminable	
•	If asked, I can provide the following details of my analysis to a trusted colleague(s):	
	 Data or insights about the critical factor(s) 	
	• Detailed financial forecast or valuation analysis, if key to the investment thesis	
•	I clearly separate:	
	 Opinion or forecast from Views of others from 	
	 Views of others from Undianutable facto 	
P	Undisputable facts	
<u>Revealing</u>		
•	I create upside, downside, and base-case scenarios to identify where the investment thesis could have flaws	
•	I identify specific risks beyond the macro	
•	I provide my conviction level to others, even if it is not strong	